

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO		
09/812,349 03/20/2001		Nick J. Huige	661005.90951	1593		
26710 75	590 11/17/2003		EXAMINER			
QUARLES &	BRADY LLP	SHERRER, CURTIS EDWARD				
411 E. WISCOT SUITE 2040	NSIN AVENUE	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
	, WI 53202-4497		1761			
			DATE MAILED: 11/17/2003	DATE MAILED: 11/17/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

` ,	•	Applicatio	n No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		09/812,34	9	HUIGE ET AL.				
		Examiner		Art Unit				
			herrer, Esq.	1761				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	n appears on the	cover sheet with the	e correspondence ad	ddress			
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RIMAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory preserved by the office later than three months after the read patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no eve in. a reply within the statu eriod will apply and wil statute, cause the appli	ent, however, may a reply be story minimum of thirty (30) of Il expire SIX (6) MONTHS fro ication to become ABANDOI	timely filed lays will be considered time om the mailing date of this o NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ely. communication.			
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u>09/12/03</u> .						
2a)	This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.							
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	ion of Claims							
<ul> <li>4) Claim(s) 1-9 and 31-42 is/are pending in the application.</li> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.</li> <li>5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>6) Claim(s) 1-9 and 31-42 is/are rejected.</li> <li>7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.</li> <li>8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.</li> </ul>								
	ion Papers		7 4					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120								
* \$ 13)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document of the certified copies of the priority document of the certified copies of the application from the International Base the attached detailed Office action for a cknowledgment is made of a claim for dorince a specific reference was included in the Tanslation of the foreign language acknowledgment is made of a claim for doresterence was included in the first sentence of the first sentence of the foreign language.	ments have bee ments have bee priority docume ureau (PCT Rule a list of the certimestic priority une first sentence e provisional apmestic priority unestic priority	n received. In received in Applicents have been received and received in Applicents have been received as U.S.C. § 11 of the specification oplication has been received.	ation No ived in this National ived. 9(e) (to a provisional or in an Application received. 20 and/or 121 since	al application) n Data Sheet. e a specific			
Attachmen	• •							
2) Notic	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94 mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper N		' <del></del>	ary (PTO-413) Paper No al Patent Application (PT				

Application/Control Number: 09/812,349

Art Unit: 1761

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

Claims 1, 2, 5, 8 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Al's Red (web.archive.org/web/1999100608325 . . . angelfire.com/pa/beerandweather/red).

Al's Red is the recipe for a malt-based beer that includes 1 ounce of oak chips that are added to the wort and boiled for 15 minutes. The wort is then cooled and fermented. The chips are first baked, i.e., toasted. It is considered that the limitation directed to performing the process in a "brew kettle" is met as this is broadly interpreted to be any container used during the brewing process.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 3, 4, 7, 6 and 31-42 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Al's Red.

Application/Control Number: 09/812,349

Art Unit: 1761

The recipe cited above is silent as to when the oak chips are remove and is further silent as to whether the chips are of French or American origin. As to the origin of the oak chips, it is notoriously well known in the fermenting beverage art to use either American or French chips when adding flavor and therefore it would have been obvious to those of ordinary skill in the art to use either type of chip as they are commonly used. See *In re Levin*.

As to when the chips are removed, selection of any order of performing process steps is prima facie obvious in the absence of new or unexpected results, In re Burhans, 154 F.2d 690, 69 USPQ 330 (CCPA 1946). Therefore, for the above stated reason, it would have been obvious to those of ordinary skill in the art to remove the chips either before the fermentation step or after the fermentation step.

### Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-9 and 31-42 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Curtis E. Sherrer whose telephone number is 703-308-3847. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday-Friday, 8AM-6:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Milton Cano can be reached on 703-308-3959. The fax phone numbers for the Application/Control Number: 09/812,349

Art Unit: 1761

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-305-3602 for regular communications and 703-305-3602 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0661.

Curtis E. Sherrer Primary Examiner

November 13, 2003